

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

And the second

 Application No.
 Classification
 Classi

EXXONMOBIL RESEARCH AND FNGINEERING COMPANY P.O. BOX 900 1545 ROUTE 22 FAST ANNANDALE, NJ. 08801-0900 ENAMENES

STRICKLAND, JONAS N

ARTONIC HARRING MEER

DATE MAILED on 22 ms

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

10 1:54 228

SOLEDETA:

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit

Jonas N. Strickland

1754

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

i ciloa lo	ricpiy		
THEN	OPTENED STATUTORY PERIO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM	MUNICATION.	
attern History History Arcycles Klastick	per off thego's specified at log free ach entires walth the set in extended length	 In minimal particle Introduced by the property of the state of the property of the particle Interpretation of the particle Interpretation of the particle Interpretation of the particle 	This week to the activity of the other of the organization of the
Status			
1)[]	Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>13 November 2</u>	<u>001</u>
2a)[[This action is FINAL	2b₁⊡ Thiis action is r	non-fina
			for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is tayle 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213
4)[[Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in	the application.	
	4a) Of the above cla-m(s)	istare withdrawn from con	sideration
5)[]	Claim(s)is/are allowed.		
6)[ற்)⊡ Cla m(s) <u>1-28</u> is are rejected.		
7)	7) Clam(s)sare objected to:		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election re	guirement.
	on Papers		
9)[[] [The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.	
10)⊡ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 April 2002</u> is are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed onis. a) approved b) d sapproved by the Examiner			
Papproved ic, rrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a)_]Ail b)[] Some to)[] None	of	
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received		
	$2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) <u> </u>	cknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for domestic priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application
	.[] The translation of the foreig wknowledgment is made of a cli		ecation has been received der 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and or 121
Attachment		,	
	on Agus Annos Consignation (Agus Ant Charlspiels in A Pratic (Charlo) (Agos Anno Colonia See Statement (Anno 1907)		4 (1) of the Lower model of the expect to the control of the contr

e to so especially sufficient

Application: Control Number: 10 054.228

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 11-19 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 11 is dependent upon claim 11. Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claims 22-26 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 23 is dependent upon claim 23. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 4 Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the filtration" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in publiciose or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-3 and 5-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsia (US Patent 5,260,239).

Art Unit: 1754

Hsia discloses an external catalyst rejuvenation system for the hydrocarbon synthesis process. Hsia clearly discloses wherein for ease of operation the rejuvenation technique can be affected at hydrocarbon synthesis conditions at whatever they may be, but preferably temperatures and pressures optimized for catalyst reactivation regeneration may be used. The regeneration rejuvenation is conducted at a temperature in the range 250-500 F and having a pressure ranging from 75 to 450 PSIA (col. 6. lines 23-33). The catalyst may be comprised of catalytic metals, such as iron, cobalt, and ruthenium (col. 7, lines 31-37). Hsia continues to disclose wherein a second metal component, such as rhenium may also be added to the catalyst (col. 7, lines 46-60). With respect to claim 10, see Figure 1. With respect to claim 11, Hsia discloses wherein the rejuvenation process is conducted on a continuous basis (col. 3, lines 65-68). With respect to claim 12. Hsia teaches wherein regeneration occurs in an oxygen environment (col 2, lines 22-26). Since Hsia teaches wherein the regeneration occurs at hydrocarbon synthesis conditions and wherein the HCS conditions may range from 175-450 C (col. 6, lines 5-9). Therefore it would be expected for the regenerative oxidative environment to be greater than 300°C. Hsia also teaches a hydrogen treatment (col. 6, lines 34-39).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title. If the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior artiare such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the arrito which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application: Control Number: 10 054,228

Art Unit: 1754

- 9. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e). (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 4 and 20-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsia (US Patent 5,260.239) in view of Bauman et al. (US Patent 5,844,005).

Applicant claims a process for activating a regenerated, but not re-activated, catalyst comprising: introducing said catalyst into an HCS reactor operating containing catalyst rejuvenation means at HCS process conditions whereby said catalyst is activated. Applicant claims with respect to claims 4 and 20-28 wherein space velocity of the HCS reactor has an hourly gas space velocity of 100-40,000 V hr v.

Application/Control Number: 10.054,228

Art Unit: 1754

The teachings of Hsia have been discussed, but Hsia does not disclose the space velocity of the HCS reactor.

Bauman et al. teaches a hydrocarbon synthesis process for catalyst rejuvenation. wherein the space velocity is 100-40,000 V/hr/v (col. 4, lines 15-18).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to carry out the HCS process disclosed by Hsia with the space velocity as taught by Bauman et al., since Bauman et al. teaches wherein it is known in the art for a hydrocarbon synthesis process for catalyst rejuvenation, to have a space velocity in the range of 100-40,000 V/hr/v. Such modification would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, because one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected a HCS process, which rejuvenates a catalyst as taught by Bauman et al. to have been similarly useful and applicable to a process for rejuvenating a hydrocarbons synthesis catalyst as taught by Hsia.

Hsia also discloses with respect to claims 27 and 28 fuels and lubricants (col. 1, lines 47-51).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonas N. Strickland whose telephone number is 703-306-5692. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH, 7:30-5:00, off 1st Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone

Application Control Number: 10 054.228

Art Unit: 1754

Page 6

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-0661.

Jonas N. Strickland September 8, 2003

STANE TEN TEN TO THE TEN THE T